



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,865	06/12/2001	Masahiro Kitayama	206333	2710
22850	7590	10/21/2003	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MEDLEY, MARGARET B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/857,865	KITAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret B. Medley	1714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

This application was filed on June 12, 2001 as a 371 of PCT/JP 00/06995 filed on October 6, 2000.

The pending claims of record are claims 1-6. Claims 3-6 were amended in a preliminary amendment dated June 12, 2001.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mark et al 4,263,201 for teachings to a flame-retardant polycarbonate (PC) resin composition comprising 0.01 to @ 5% weight mixtures of additives, column 1, lines 36-46, including an acid base-containing aromatic vinyl resin of a salt of a sulfonate, Table 2, Example 14 and Table 3, Example 25, added to 100 parts of a (PC) composition flame retardant anticipating the instant claims. The DBDE and PBS additives are considered to be phenolic additives inherently having drip inhibitor properties.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1714

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mark et al 4,263,201.

Applicants further claim a fibril-forming polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) drip inhibitor. Mark teachings are set forth supra. Patentee further teaches the optionally inclusion of drip inhibitors wherein suitable examples include siloxanes, glass fibers, polytetrafluoroethylenes, high temperature elastomers, carbon fibers and other neutral fibers, glass spheres, etc., column 5, lines 29-35, that renders obvious the instant claim 5 when PTFE is the selected drip inhibitor.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mark et al 4,263,201 as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Admitted Prior Art.

Applicant further teaches a molding of the polycarbonate (PC) resin of flame retardant resin composition for housings or parts for electric or electronic appliances wherein Mark addresses the problems for providing compositions for products for use by the ultimate consumer for public and household use, column 1, lines 6-18. The examiner takes the position on record that the public and house uses are for use of products for housings or parts for electric or electronic appliances designed of (PC) resin of flame retardant resin composition.

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant makes admission on record that (PC) resins have many applications in various fields of, for example office automation appliances, information and communication appliances, other electric and electronic appliances for industrial use and household use, automobile parts and building materials, page 1 of the instant specification under Background Art. It would have been obvious to the artisan in field of (PC) resins that the products of Mark for public and household uses would include state of the art, the products under the Background Art rendering instant claim 6 obvious.

The prior art cited but not applied further teaches flame-retardant (PC) resin composition and additives of the nature of the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is (703) 308-2518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.B. Medley/dh
October 9, 2003


MARGARET MEDLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

This application was filed on June 12, 2001 as a 371 of PCT/JP 00/06995 filed on October 6, 2000.

The pending claims of record are claims 1-6. Claims 3-6 were amended in a preliminary amendment dated June 12, 2001.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mark et al 4,263,201 for teachings to a flame-retardant polycarbonate (PC) resin composition comprising 0.01 to @ 5% weight mixtures of additives, column 1, lines 36-46, including an acid base-containing aromatic vinyl resin of a salt of a sulfonate, Table 2, Example 14 and Table 3, Example 25, added to 100 parts of a (PC) composition flame retardant anticipating the instant claims. The DBDE and PBS additives are considered to be phenolic additives inherently having drip inhibitor properties.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1714

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mark et al 4,263,201.

Applicants further claim a fibril-forming polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) drip inhibitor. Mark teachings are set forth supra. Patentee further teaches the optionally inclusion of drip inhibitors wherein suitable examples include siloxanes, glass fibers, polytetrafluoroethylenes, high temperature elastomers, carbon fibers and other neutral fibers, glass spheres, etc., column 5, lines 29-35, that renders obvious the instant claim 5 when PTFE is the selected drip inhibitor.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mark et al 4,263,201 as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Admitted Prior Art.

Applicant further teaches a molding of the polycarbonate (PC) resin of flame retardant resin composition for housings or parts for electric or electronic appliances wherein Mark addresses the problems for providing compositions for products for use by the ultimate consumer for public and household use, column 1, lines 6-18. The examiner takes the position on record that the public and house uses are for use of products for housings or parts for electric or electronic appliances designed of (PC) resin of flame retardant resin composition.

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant makes admission on record that (PC) resins have many applications in various fields of, for example office automation appliances, information and communication appliances, other electric and electronic appliances for industrial use and household use, automobile parts and building materials, page 1 of the instant specification under Background Art. It would have been obvious to the artisan in field of (PC) resins that the products of Mark for public and household uses would include state of the art, the products under the Background Art rendering instant claim 6 obvious.

The prior art cited but not applied further teaches flame-retardant (PC) rein composition and additives of the nature of the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is (703) 308-2518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.B. Medley/dh
October 9, 2003


MARGARET MEDLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER